#### LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS

#### RECORD OF THE DECISIONS OF THE LICENSING SUB COMMITTEE

# HELD AT 6.30 P.M. ON TUESDAY, 4 MARCH 2014

# THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, TOWN HALL, MULBERRY PLACE, 5 CLOVE CRESCENT, LONDON, E14 2BG

#### **Members Present:**

Councillor Ann Jackson (Chair)

Councillor Marc Francis
Councillor Md. Maium Miah

#### 1. DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTEREST

There were no declarations of disclosable pecuniary interest.

### 2. RULES OF PROCEDURE

The rules of procedure were noted.

### 3. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING(S)

The minutes of the Licensing Sub Committee meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> January 2014 were agreed and approved as a correct record.

### 4. ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION

# 4.1 Application for a Variation of a Premises Licence for the Coborn Arms, 6-8 Coborn Road, London, E3 2DA

### The Licensing Objectives

In considering the application, Members were required to consider the same in accordance with the Licensing Act 2003 (as amended), the Licensing Objectives, the Licensing Guidance and the Council's Statement of Licensing Policy.

# Consideration

Each application must be considered on its own merits and after careful consideration the Chair stated that the Sub Committee had decided to grant the application. Members' had carefully listened to representation made by the Applicant's Representative and noted and considered the written

objections contained in the agenda and the verbal objections made at the meeting by Cllr Joshua Peck and local residents. However Members' believed that there was insufficient evidence to refuse the application as there were no reported complaints of public nuisance or crime and disorder. It was noted that there was wat had been referred to as a low level of noise concerns that had not been reported to the appropriate authorities. As it was low level concerns, Members did not consider that that was sufficient to refuse in this case. Had these concerns been reported then they would have been investigated by responsible authorities. Further Members considered that the representations made were more appropriately Planning considerations and should therefore be considered by that regime.

The Chair advised that even though a Premises Licence had been grated, the applicant was still required to get planning consent. Member's also suggested a number of informatives to help promote the licensing objectives.

#### Decision

Accordingly, the Sub-Committee unanimously –

# **RESOLVED**

That the application for a Variation of a Premises Licence for, Coborn Arms, 6-8 Coborn Road, London E3 2DA be **GRANTED**.

To vary the layout of the premises in accordance with the amended plans supplied by the applicant. All licensable activities, permitted hours and opening hours to remain as existing.

#### In-formatives

- Alcohol to be served with food in the dining area
- Restrict the number of smokers outside to 5 people after 11pm
- To have a designated bay for taxi's to park when picking or dropping off patrons.

# 4.2 Application for a Premises Licence for Preem, Ground Floor and Basement, 118 - 122 Brick Lane, London E1 6RL

### The Licensing Objectives

In considering the application, Members were required to consider the same in accordance with the Licensing Act 2003 (as amended), the Licensing Objectives, the Licensing Guidance and the Council's Statement of Licensing Policy.

Each application must be considered on its own merits and after careful consideration the Chair stated that the Sub Committee had carefully listened to both the Applicant's Representative and submissions from the Police,

Trading Standards and local residents. Members did not think it was appropriate to grant the application as Members felt that it would undermine the Licensing Objectives of crime and disorder.

In making the decision Members noted that the premises was within the area of the Special Cumulative Impact Zone but did not consider whether the applicant had addressed the rebuttable presumption as Members had noted that there had been a number of Licensing breaches including touting; serving alcohol during a period of suspension; and opening outside terminal hours. Members were also concerned that the applicant considered that the retail sale of alcohol was a supply of refreshment and therefore covered by the hours applied for the provision of late night refreshment. Such are different licensable activities however. Therefore Members' decided to refuse the application.

# **Decision**

Accordingly, the Sub-Committee unanimously –

# **RESOLVED**

That the application for a New Premises Licence for, Preem, Ground Floor and Basement, 118-122 Brick Lane, London E1 6RLbe **REFUSED**.

### 5. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIR CONSIDERS URGENT

There was no other business.

The meeting ended at 9.00 p.m.

Chair, Councillor Ann Jackson Licensing Sub Committee